

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.480 of 2018

=====

Ranjeet Kumar Raut Son of Sri Ram Gulam Raut, Resident of Village, P.O. and P.S.Bheja, District Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Planning and Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. Principal Secretary, Planning and Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, planning and Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Superintending Engineer, Local Area Engineering Organization,, L.A.E.O., Work Circle, Darbhanga.
5. The Executive Engineer, Local Area Engineering Organisation L.A.E.O., Work Division, 02, JHanjhar
6. Sri Ram Mohan Jha, Son of late Ras Bihari Jha, Resident of Village and P.O. Kasraur, P.S. Ghanshyampur, District Madhubani
7. Ram Pukar Yadav Son of late Ram Charitra Yadav, Resident of Village-Devna, P.S. Sakatpur, District Darbhanga
8. Raman Kumar Choudhary Son of Sri Braj Kishore Choudhary, Resident of Village and P.O. Patori, P.S.Moro, District Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 04-04-2023

None appears on behalf of respective parties.

2. In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief/reliefs:

“That the instant writ application is being filed for setting aside the entire selection process as well as allotment order awarded to private respondents arising out of Notice



Inviting Tender No. 05 of 2017-17 published on the official website of the department on 17.3.2017 on the ground that the entire selection and the allotment of work awarded to the private respondents have been done in utter violation of the terms and conditions mentioned in the Notice Inviting Tender (hereinafter referred as “NIT”) as well as allowing the technical bid on pick and chose basis and further process for directing the concerned respondents not to go ahead with the tender process by restraining the private respondents from executing the work awarded during pendency of the present writ application.”

3. Perusal of the records, it is evident that there is no interim order. Therefore, this Court has to draw inference that subject matter has already spent its force, hence, question of interfering with the subject matter of NIT is not warranted at this belated stage.

4. Accordingly, present writ petition stands disposed of as it has become infructuous.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

GAURAV S./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.04.2023
Transmission Date	NA

