

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34057 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-18 Year-2023 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

=====

MICKEY KUMAR Son of Daroga Chauhan Resident of Village - Gobindpur,
P.S.- Phulwarisharif, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pranav Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection

with Danapur P.S. Case No. 18 of 2023 dated 05.01.2023 for

the offences punishable under Sections 30(a), 32(ii)(iii) and

41(i)(iii) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

As per the prosecution case, total 50 litres of illicit

country-made liquor was recovered from the scooty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that

the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The



petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The petitioner is owner of the said vehicle though the vehicle was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of alleged recovery. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by the apprehended person who has falsely implicated the name of the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Patna, Danapur in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 18 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

