

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34285 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-728 Year-2021 Thana- MADHUBANI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Madhubani

-
1. Nagmani Singh @ Shrinath Nagmani Singh Son Of Late Jagdeo Singh Resident Of Village- Datuar, P.S- Khajauli, District- Madhubani
 2. Lav Kumar Singh Son Of Nagmani Singh@ Shrinath Nagmani Singh Resident Of Village- Datuar, P.S- Khajauli, District- Madhubani
 3. Kush Kumar Singh Son Of Nagmani Singh @ Shrinath Nagamani Singh Resident Of Village- Datuar, P.S- Khajauli, District- Madhubani
 4. Bhim Singh @ Ravi Singh Son Of Naval Kishore Singh Resident Of Village- Datuar, P.S- Khajauli, District- Madhubani
 5. Naval Kishore Singh Son Of Late Jagdeo Singh Resident Of Village- Datuar, P.S- Khajauli, District- Madhubani
 6. Shiv Chandra Singh Son Of Late Saryug Singh Resident Of Village- Datuar, P.S- Khajauli, District- Madhubani
 7. Prince Kumar Singh @ Avinash Kumar Singh Son Of Sushil Singh Resident Of Village- Datuar, P.S- Khajauli, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rimjhim Kumari Daughter Of Shiv Chandra Singh Resident Of Village- Datuar, P.S- Khajauli, District- Madhubani

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Subhash Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Amitesh Kumar
For the Complainant	Mr. Ratnakar Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 09-10-2023

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 342, 323, 384, 354(B), 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Petitioners are said to have assaulted the complainant and also misbehaved with his sister.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that there is no specific overt act against the petitioners. He submits that there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against the petitioners. He further submits that petitioner nos. 3 and 4 have one criminal antecedent and rest of the petitioners have no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail application.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the complainant opposing the prayer for bail submit that the victim is minor and petitioners are also involved in the present case.

6. Considering the nature of offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. Accordingly, their prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected in connection with C.R. Case No. 728 of 2021.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

