

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1003 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Saran

=====

Sita Devi W/O- Naresh Ray, R/o Village- Laguni Ps- Ekma Dist- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, Principal Secretary, HHome Patna Bihar
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar Bihar
3. The Superintendent of Police, Saran at Chapra Bihar
4. The Sub Divisional Police Officer, Sadar Saran at Chapra Bihar
5. The SHO Ekma Police Station Ekma Saran at Chapra Bihar
6. The Branch Manager, Sugamia, Baniyapur Saran at Chapra Bihar
7. The Branch Manager, SATya Bank Baniyapur Saran At Chapra Bihar
8. The Branch Manager, SATya Bank Baniyapur Saran at Chapra Bihar
9. The Branch Manager, BSS Bank Ekma Saran At Chapra Bihar
10. The Branch Manager, Mide Land Bank Ekma Saran At Chapra Bihar
11. The Branch Manager, Utakarsh Bank Pateri Saran at Chapra Bihar
12. The Branch Manager, Maya Bank ekma Saran At Chapra Bihar
13. The Branch Manager, Ashinbad Bank Ekma Saran At Chapra Bihar
14. Ashok Kumar Gupta son of Late Ram Pukar Gupta Village- Pipra Kalan, Ps- Maharajganj Dist- Siwan

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Ban Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjay Parasmani, AC to GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 31-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

AC to GP-4 for the State.

2. From the prayer made in the writ application, it

appears that the petitioner is seeking a direction to the

respondent nos.1 to 5 to take appropriate action towards the



proper and fair enquiry/investigation on the application submitted by the petitioner. It appears from the statements made in the writ application that although the petitioner is alleging cheating against respondent no.14 who is said to be an agent of respondent nos. 6 to 13 but fact remains that no FIR has been lodged in the matter.

3. It further appears that the petitioner has not taken appropriate steps towards lodging of the FIR and has directly moved this Court seeking a direction to the respondents to conduct proper enquiry/investigation.

4. In the opinion of this Court, the writ application is misconceived and the same cannot proceed as framed.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ application with liberty to apply for appropriate remedy.

6. Permission is granted.

7. This application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

