

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32589 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-177 Year-2022 Thana- KOTWA District- East Champaran

1. Arjun Ram Son Of Bhagirath Ram @ Bhushan Ram Village- Belwa Madho Tola, Taktakpur Ps- Kotwa, Dist- East Champaran
2. Kundan Ram Son Of Bhushan Ram Village- Belwa Madho Tola, Taktakpur Ps- Kotwa, Dist- East Champaran
3. Chandan Ram Son Of Bhushan Ram Village- Belwa Madho Tola, Taktakpur Ps- Kotwa, Dist- East Champaran
4. Nand Kishore Ram Son Of Late Medu Ram Village- Belwa Madho Tola, Taktakpur Ps- Kotwa, Dist- East Champaran
5. Bhushan Ram Son Of Late Medu Ram Village- Belwa Madho Tola, Taktakpur Ps- Kotwa, Dist- East Champaran
6. Kali Ram Son Of Late Medu Ram Village- Belwa Madho Tola, Taktakpur Ps- Kotwa, Dist- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 21-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 325, 379, 308, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Petitioners are said to have assaulted the informant by means of lathi and danda as a result of which she received injury. They also assaulted the nephew of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that the injury found upon the victim is simple in nature. He submits that there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against the petitioners. He submits that both the parties are next door neighbor and there is dispute regarding pathway. He further submits that petitioners has no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner nos. 2 to 6, let the above named petitioner nos. 2 to 6 in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kotwa P.S. Case No. 177 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

So far as petitioner no. 1 is concerned, there is specific allegation against him, I am not inclined to enlarge the



petitioner no. 1 on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory
bail is rejected in connection with the aforesaid case.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

