

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.341 of 2017

In
SECOND APPEAL No.379 of 2012

-
1. Bhola Yadav Son of Late Singheshwar Yadav,
 2. Sanjeev Kumar,
 3. Rajesh Kumar,
 4. Dinesh Kumar @ Dinesh Yadav, Sl. Nos. 2 to 4 sons of Late Ramadhin Yadav,
 5. Babita Kumari, Wife of Late Ramadhin Yadav, petitioners no. 1 to 5 are resident of village Itahari, P.S. Singhewar, P.O, Gahumani, District-Madhepura
 6. Mala Devi, Wife of Shri Shiv Prasad Yadav and Daughter of Late Ramadhin Yadav, Resident of Village- Dharhara, Police Station- Banmankhi, District-Purnea.
 7. Kanchan Kumari, Wife of Manohar Kumar and Daughter of Late Ramadhin Yadav, Resident of Village- Girdharpati, Police Station- Chhatapur, District-Supaul.
 8. Shilpa Bharti, Wife of Deepak Kumar and Daughter of Late Ramadhin Yadav, Resident of Village- Kathofia, Police Station- Bihariganj, District-Madhepura.
 9. Bishundeo Yadav, Son of Late Singeshwari Yadav,
 10. Raghvendra Yadav, Son of Late Jeevan Yadav,
 11. Lal Bahadur Yadav,
 12. Rajesh Kumar Yadav, Sl. No. 11 and 12 sons of Raghvendra Yadav, Sl. Nos. 8 to 12 are resident of Village- Itahari, Police Station- Singheshwar, District-Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Usha Devi Wife of Bedanand Yadav,
2. Bedanand Yadav, Son of Yogendra Yadav, Both are residents of Village- Itahari, Police Station- Singheshwar, District- Madhepura.
3. Kinu Yadav, Son of Late Kalar Yadav, resident of Village- Itahari, Police Station- Singheshwar, District- Madhepura. at present residing at Village- Kumhait, Police Station- Supaul, District- Supaul.
4. Bhudani Devi, Wife of Deep Narayan Yadav, Resident of Village- Gahumani, Police Station- Singheshwar, District- Supaul.
5. Jinsi Devi, Wife of Mahendra Yadav, residents of Village- Kanpotla, Laxminiya, Police Station- Sour Bazar, District- Saharsa.
6. Raghi Devi, Wife of Anmol Yadav, residents of Village- Thanga, Police Station- Sour Bazar, District- Saharsa.
7. Sita Devi, Daughter of Kalar Yadav, residents of Village- Kumhait, Police



Station and District- Supaul.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surya Narayan Yadav
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

4 14-12-2023 Though the present review petition has been filed for review of the judgment dated 21.6.2017, passed in Second Appeal No. 379 of 2012, however, the learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that since none of the grounds, as envisaged under Order 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 exists so as to warrant review of the order of this Court dated 21.6.2017, passed in Second Appeal No. 379 of 2012, nor any cogent or important evidence has been brought on record of the review petition nor there is any mistake apparent on the face of the record of the case, the review petitioners be granted liberty to file appropriate appeal.

2. Accordingly, the present review petition stands disposed off as not pressed, however, with liberty to the petitioners to avail such other alternative remedies, as are otherwise available under the law.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

