

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35004 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2018 Thana- C.B.I CASE - TR District- Patna

Saurabh Kumar Son Of Ravindra Prasad @ Ravindra Kumar Resident Of
Village- Kailashpuri Road No. 2 , Hanuman Nagar, Kankarbag, Ps- Patrkkar
Nagar, Distt- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Central Bureau Of Investigation India
2. The General Manager, Union Bank, Mumbai Mumbai
3. The Deputy General Manager, Union Bank, Patna Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Ms. Rina Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s :	Ms. Nivedita Nirvikar
	Ms. Sheela Sharma
	Mr. Shivendra Kumar Roy

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 18-09-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the Bank and learned counsel for the C.B.I.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 120(B),
420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, loans were
sanctioned on the names of the fictitious firms against property
of Mrs. Masushami Vishvash and under the proprietorship of
Mr. Nirbhay Puri and guarantee of Mrs. Maushami Vishvas
without their knowledge in the connivance of the petitioner and
other middle men.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He submits that the petitioner is the intern of the C.A., namely, Vijay Kumar Yadav and the petitioner used to visit respective Banks to collect the details and used to submit it to his C.A., except this the petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. Similarly situated co-accused have been enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 22.06.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 7381 of 2023. Petitioner has one criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned counsel for the Bank and learned counsel for the C.B.I. opposed prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that similarly situated co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is



pending/successor Court in connection with Special Case No. 01 of 2021 arising out of C.B.I. Case No. RC-9 (S)/2018, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

