

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1407 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4791 of 2022

=====

Banarsi Das son of Late Bishan Lal, resident of Nala Road, P.S.-Kadam Kuan,
Patna-800003.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Bank of India through Dinesh Kumar Khara, the Chairman-cum-Managing Director, State Bank of India, Corporate Centre, State Bank Bhawan, Madame Cama Road, Mumbai-400021.
2. The State Bank of India through Shashi Ranjan Chaudhary, Chief Manager, Stressed Assets Recovery Branch, Second Floor, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna-800001.
3. Ms. Rashmi Kumari, The Chief Manager, State Bank of India, Maurya Lok Complex Branch, Patna.
4. The Union of India through Manoj Kumar, the Recovery Officer, Debt Recovery Tribunal, A and B Wing, 5th Floor, Karpuri Thakur Sadan, Kendriya Karmchari Parisar (GPOA), Near Rajiv Nagar Police Station, Ashiana Digha Road, Patna-800025.
5. The State of Bihar through Dr. Chandrashekhar Singh, The District Magistrate, Patna.
6. Manavjit Singh Dhillon, through The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gautam Kumar Kejriwal, Advocate Mr. Atal Bihari Pandey, Advocate Mr. Alok Kumar Jha, Advocate Mr. Mukund Kumar, Advocate Ms. Ekta Rani, Advocate
For the U.O.I.	:	Dr. K.N. Singh, ASG Mr. T.N. Thakur, C.G.C. Mr. Shivaditya Dhari Sinha, JC to ASG
For the S.B.I.	:	Mr. Sanjay Singh Thakur, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Manish Kumar, GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 10-11-2023 Heard Mr. Gautam Kumar Kejriwal, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Dr. K.N. Singh, learned

 A.S.G. assisted by Mr. Shivaditya Dhari Sinha, learned JC to



learned ASG appearing on behalf of the Union of India; Mr. Sanjay Singh Thakur, learned counsel for the State Bank of India and Mr. Manish Kumar, learned GP-4 for the State.

2. It appears that after having realised, the Recovery Officer has proceeded to correct his action and has complied with the order dated 02.03.2023 passed in CWJC No. 4791 of 2022 but with delay.

3. On instruction of Recovery Officer, Dr. K.N. Singh, learned senior counsel submitted that he has realised that the petitioner is also entitled for the interest due to the delay caused from 17.08.2023 till 27.09.2023.

4. At this stage, Mr. Gautam Kumar Kejriwal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has shown his gracious approach by making submission that the petitioner will not like to receive any further interest in spite of the fact that the payments have been made on 30.10.2023, after delay of nearly two months and twelve days.

5. Accordingly, the present contempt application is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

