

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12859 of 2016

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1494 Year-2012 Thana- SARAN COMPLAINT CASE District-
Saran

-
1. Sabhapati Rai and Ors. Son of Late Panchi Rai, resident of Village- Gaurigawa, P.S.- Parsa, District- Saran.
 2. Khyali Prasad Son of Jangi Lal Sah @ Jangi Sah, resident of Village- Marar, P.S.- Parsa, District- Saran.
 3. Bhagirath Rai Son of Late Banaras Rai, resident of Village- Jaminpur, P.S.- Derni, District- Saran.
 4. Anil Rai @ Anil Kumar Rai Son of Bhagirath Rai resident of Village- Jaminpur, P.S.- Derni, District- Saran.
 5. Ram Mangal Rai Son of Late Dhaneshwar Rai resident of Village- Chanpura, P.S.- Parsa, District- Saran.
 6. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Son of Late Bigu Rai (Wrongly mentioned as Bhim Rai), Resident of Village- Shankardih, P.S.- Parsa, District Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. State Of Bihar and Anr
2. Ram Naresh Prasad Son of Ram Bahadur Prasad resident of Village- Marar Tole, Bhatwahia, P.S.- Parsa, District- Saran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, App

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 05-09-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. On query of the Court from the learned counsel for
the petitioners with regard to the stage of the case, the learned
counsel submits that he has no instruction in the matter.

3. The learned A.P.P., Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad
submits that in the event, if the Court interferes with the order



taking cognizance and if the stage of the case in these seven years has changed in that event, the entire effort of the Court would be futile.

4. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P., the Court is not inclined to entertain the quashing application.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

GauravSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

