

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12066 of 2016

1. Padm Bhushan, son of Sri Shivendra Deo, Resident of Gangjala, Saharsa.
2. Md. Ali, Son of Allauddin, Resident of D.B.Road, Saharsa.
3. Arvind Singh @ Arbind Kumar Singh son of Late Jageshwar Singh, Resident of Gangjala, Saharsa.
4. Gopal Jee @ Gopal Singh, Son of Sachidanand Singh, Resident of Shivpuri, Saharsa.
5. Ramotar Sah, son of Late Dorik Sah, Resident of Naya Bazar, Saharsa.
6. Krishnadeo Singh, son of Late Arjun Prasad Singh, Resident of Gangjala, Saharsa.
7. Davendra Kumar Sinha, son of Late Harishankar Prasad Sinha, Resident of Gautam Nagar Gangjala, Saharsa.
8. Dilchandra Sharma, son of Late Chhotkan Sharma, Resident of Mahartha, Bharrahi, Saharsa.
9. Girdhar Pandey, son of Late Gajendra Pandey, Resident of Bherdhari, Saharsa.
10. Ram Prasad Yadav, son of Late Kalar Yadav, Resident of Hakpara Ward No. 01, Saharsa.
11. Bachan Tanti, son of Late Sonay Das, Resident of Gautamnagar, Gangjala, Saharsa.
12. Umesh Das, son of Late Baldeo Das, Resident of Ward No.11, Gangjala Saharsa.
13. Shambhu Das, Son of Besi Das, Resident of Bengha Road, Naya Bazar, Saharsa.
14. Mahendra Yadav, son of Madahav Singh, Resident of Balwahat, Bakhtiyarpur, Saharsa.
15. Subodh Mehta @ Bijendra Kumar son of Sahdeo Mahto, Resident of Badiya Balampur, Police Station- Bakhtiyarpur, District- Saharsa.
16. Shambhu Das, son of Baldev Das Resident of Gautamnagar, Gangjala, Saharsa All are at present- Shopkeeper/kiosk Owners within the premises of the Sadar Sub Divisional Office Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Koshi Range, Saharsa.
4. The District Magistrate, Saharsa.
5. The Superintendent of Police Saharsa.
6. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Sadar Saharsa,



... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dinesh Maharaj, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prasant Pratap, GP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

3 25-08-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the grievance of the petitioners has already been redressed and nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present writ petition and the same has become infructuous.

2. Having regard to the same, the present writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Bhardwaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

