

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8616 of 2022

=====

Uday Kumar Son of Sri Ramji Prasad Resident of Thivrapar, Hilsa, P.O. and
P.S.-Hilsa, District-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Nalanda.
2. The District Magistrate, Nalanda.
3. The Circle Officer, Hilsa, P.O. and P.S.-Hilsa, District-Nalanda.
4. The Nagar Parishad, Hilsa, P.O. and P.S.-Hilsa, District-Nalanda. through its Executive Officer.
5. The Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Hilsa, P.O. and P.S.-Hilsa, District-Nalanda.
6. The Executive Engineer, Hilsa Nagar Parishad.
7. The Junior Engineer, Hilsa Nagar Parishad.
8. The Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. (BUIDCO) through its Managing Director, Daroga Prasad Rai Path, R-Block-Patna.
9. The Managing Director, Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. (BUIDCO), Daroga Prasad Rai Path, R-Block, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Rishi Raj Sinha (Sc 19)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief:-

*“1. That, the present writ petition is for issuance
of an appropriate writ/ writs in nature of
MANDAMUS for commanding and directing the
respondent authorities for fair and impartial
enquiry by impartial agency against the
allegation as alleged by way of complaint/
representation regarding misuse of power/*



authorities and misappropriation of public money by abusing the power and authority in their own interest instead to public interest as well as the further prayer on the output of the enquiry report submitted by the enquiry agencies so that the Government schemes may be implemented in true sense and spirits i.e. in larger public interest as meant for and for other necessary relief/ reliefs to the basis of facts and circumstances of the case as stated, enumerated and discussed hereinafter.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

