

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31621 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-608 Year-2019 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-  
Rohtas

=====

Anil Dubey @ Anil Kumar Dubey Son of Late Ram Ashish Dubey Resident  
of Village - Khathdihari, P.S.- Sasaram Town, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kusum Devi Wife of Anil Dubey Daughter of Gayatri Upadhyay, Resident  
of Village - Sakas, P.S.- Sasaram (Darigaon), District - Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                    |   |                                |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner | : | Mr.Rajani Kant Singh, Advocate |
| For the State      | : | Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh, APP      |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      24-06-2023              Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP  
for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case  
registered under Sections 323, 498A of the Indian Penal Code  
and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture  
upon the victim due to non-fulfilment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the  
petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation  
of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The  
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to



petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.***

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the complaint case/F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below/concerned Court in connection with Complaint case No.608 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The petitioner is directed to co-operate during the trial. If the petitioner does not co-operate during the trial, the court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement. In case, any such



application is made by either of the parties, the court below shall  
refer the matter to the District Mediation Centre.

**(Sudhir Singh, J)**

Narendra/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

