

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1819 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16665 of 2016

=====

Ajay Paswan Son of Sri Mahavir Ram, Resident of Mohalla- R.S Tank Rai
Saheb Pokhar, Police Station- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. Sri Anjani Kumar Singh, the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, PATNA.
3. Sri P.K. Thakur, the Director General of Police, Bihar, PATNA.
4. Sri Chaitanaya Prasad, the Principal Secretary, Urban Development
Department, Govt. of Bihar, PATNA
5. Sri R.K. Khandwal, the Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
6. Sri Chandra Shekhar Singh, the District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
7. Sri Satyaveer Singh, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Darbhanga.
8. Sri Dilnawaz Ahmad, the City Superintendent of Police, Sadar, Darbhanga.
9. Sri Gajendra Prasad Singh, the Sub Divisional Officer, Darbhanga.
10. Sri Dinawaz Ahmad, the Incharge Deputy Superintendent of Police Sadar,
Darbhanga.
11. Sri Dinesh Prasad, the Circle Officer, Bahadurpur, Darbhanga.
12. Sri R.N. Singh, the Officer-in- Charge, Bahadurpur, Police Station,
Darbhanga.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bindhyachal Rai

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Prasad Sinha - Aag7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR



ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

5 24-07-2023 The present MJC contempt petition is filed for non-compliance of the order dated 03.10.2016, passed in CWJC No. 16665 of 2016.

2. The aforementioned writ petition was decided in the light of the order dated 24.11.2015, passed in CWJC No. 4309 of 2015 in the case of Sanjay Jha Vs. the State of Bihar & Ors. Perusal of the order passed in Sanjay Jha case, there is no direction to any authorities to do particular things or to undertake exercise. On the other hand, in para 28, liberty has been given to invoke Chapter (X) (B) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that para 25 be taken note of for the purpose of entertaining the present MJC contempt petition.

4. Perusal of para 25, there is no positive direction.

5. That apart, learned counsel for the State submitted that certain action has been taken, to that effect show cause notice has been filed during the course of the day along with certain documents.

6. In the light of these facts and circumstances,



present MJC contempt proceeding stands dropped and MJC
1819 of 2017 stands disposed of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

Amrendra/rames
h/-

U			
---	--	--	--

