

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31897 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2017 Thana- DULHIN BAZAR District- Patna

1. SANJU KUMAR @ AJEET YADAV Son of Raj Kumar Yadav Resident of village-Pansuhi, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
2. SUBODH YADAV @ GORA YADAV Son of Raj Kumar Yadav Resident of village-Pansuhi, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
3. DHANANJAY KUMAR @ DHANANJAY YADAV @ BARE YADAV Son of Raj Kumar Yadav Resident of village-Pansuhi, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
4. RAMESH KUMAR @ SONU KUMAR Son of Bindeshwar Yadav Resident of village-Pansuhi, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
5. BIJAY KUMAR @ SANTU YADAV Son of Abdhesh Yadav Resident of village-Pansuhi, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
6. AJAY KUMAR @ MANDAL YADAV Son of Abdhesh Yadav Resident of village-Pansuhi, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
7. ABDHESH YADAV Son of Nagina Yadav Resident of village-Pansuhi, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
8. RAKESH KUMAR Son of Shital Yadav Resident of village-Pansuhi, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
9. ASHOK KUMAR Son of Munni Lal Yadav Resident of village-Nawada, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna
10. PRAMOD KUMAR Son of Munni Lal Yadav Resident of village-Nawada, P.S.-Dulhin Bazar, District-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Parashuram Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2023

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
State.



2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 147, 148, 149, 342, 307, 427 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 Arms Act.

3. It is alleged that all the F.I.R. named persons including these petitioners armed with weapons entered into the house of the informant. It is further alleged that co-accused namely Sanjeet Kumar and Ram Balak Yadav fired upon the son of the informant.

4. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioners is general and omnibus in nature. No one sustained firearm injury. Police after investigation submitted final form under Sections 147, 148, 149, 342, 427 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the pre-arrest bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest or surrender within six weeks from today, let the petitioners, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



the learned ACJM III, Danapur in connection with Duhin Bazar
P.S. Case No. 86 of 2017, subject to the conditions laid down
under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

