

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12818 of 2021

Sanjeev Kumar Singh S/o Shivjee Singh R/o Village - Budshikund, P.O. - Sidhwalia, P.S. - Mohammdpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of General Administration, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chairman, Bihar Police Sub-ordinate Services Commission, Santosh Mansion, B-Block, Near R.P.S. Law College, Raghunath Path, Danapur, Patna-801503.
6. The Secretary, Bihar Police Sub-ordinate Services Commission, Santosh Mansion, B-Block, Near R.P.S. Law College, Raghunath Path, Danapur, Patna-801503.
7. The Competent Authority, Bihar Police Sub-ordinate Services Commission, Santosh Mansion, B-Block, Near R.P.S. Law College, Raghunath Path, Danapur, Patna-801503.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sheo Shankar Prasad (SC-8)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 30-11-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

“1. i. For issuance of appropriate Writ(s), Order(s), direction(s) to the respondent authorities to consider the grievances of the petitioner relating to selection/appointment on the post of Police Sub-Inspector, in view of Advertisement No. 01 of



2017, published by Bihar Police Sub-ordinate Services Commission, Patna, wherein, the petitioner disqualified by the concerned respondent with ulterior motive.

ii. And further for directing the respondents authorities to consider the claim of the petitioner in view of observation made by State Chief Information Commissioner, Bihar, Patna whereby he has clearly observed the malafide action of the respondent relating to non supply of information with ulterior motive relating to cut off marks of the petitioner.

And further for directing the respondents authorities to conduct inquiry regarding selection procedure of Police Sub-Inspector conducted by Respondent No. 5 because the observation made by the State, Chief Information Commission, Bihar, Patna reflected the malice attitude and colourable exercise committed by the respondents.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ



petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

