

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14003 of 2016

=====

Arpit Engicon Pvt. Ltd., through its Director namely, Ram Naresh Ray, Son of
Ram Babu Ray R/o Village - Nayatola Digghi, P.S.- Sadar, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
4. The Chief Engineer, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Department, Rural Works Circle,
Muzaffarpur.
6. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Works Division, Hajipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay, GA 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

Date : 04-05-2023

None appears for the petitioner.

2. In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the
following relief:

“That this is an application for issuance of
a writ in the nature of mandamus and calling upon the
respondents to produce the order of rejection of bid of
the petitioner which has been communicated to the
petitioner through sms on his Mobile on 02.08.2016 in
which it has been mentioned that “Dear user your bid



for the Tender 2016_ECBIH_40990_1 has been rejected during Technical evaluation by the duly constituted committee AS PER NIT” and second SMS for second Tender that “Dear user for the Tender 2016_ECBIH_40985_1 has been rejected during Technical evaluation by the duly constituted committee AS PER NIT” and further for direction to the respondent authorities to accept the technical bid of the petitioner if no other lacuna except the debarment from further tender and further for issuance of any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders it may deem fit and proper.”

3. The petitioner has assailed rejection of his technical bid. There is no interim order, therefore, we have to presume that subject matter of tender must have been given effect to or fresh tender must have been processed. In other words, the present petition has become infructuous.

4. Accordingly, writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

GAURAV S./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	08.05.2023
Transmission Date	NA

