

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15963 of 2015**

=====

Prem Lal Mandal son of Late Ram Sati Mandal, resident of village- Athgama,  
P.O.- Akidatpur, P.S.- Kharik Bazar, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Industries Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Directorate Handloom and Sericulture, Govt. of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.
4. The Pilot Project Officer, Silk Pilot Project Centre, Gangta More, Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                 |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Deepak Kumar Sinha, Adv.    |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG-13 |
|                      |   | Mrs. Sunita Kumari, AC to AAG-3 |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      01-09-2023              The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(I). To command and direct the respondents to confirm the service of petitioner in accordance with law though service of Junior to the petitioner was confirmed by the authorities.

(II). To command and direct the respondents to grant the benefit of admitted Ist, 2nd, 3rd A.CP. to the petitioner as per the rule 2003 and pay the arrears of salary and other as per the fresh calculation immediately.

(III). To further command and direct the respondents to grant the admitted 2nd time bound promotion to the petitioner and pay the arrears of salary and others after calculating afresh, though



Junior to the petitioner was granted the same.

(IV). To further command and direct the respondents to fix the consequential pension and other retiral benefit to the petitioner after granting the 2nd time bound promotion and benefit of A C P as stated above and pay the differences of amount to the petitioner immediately because petitioner has retired on 30.12.2013.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the Respondent-State has submitted, by referring to paragraph no. 13 of the counter affidavit, filed in the present case that the claim of the petitioner has been redressed, hence, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if that be the factual position, the present writ petition be disposed off.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off, however, with liberty to the petitioner to agitate his subsisting grievances, if any, before the respondent authorities.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

Ajay/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

