

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33862 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-152 Year-2023 Thana- CHANDAUTI District- Gaya

=====

BAIJU PASWAN SON OF SURESH PASWAN R/O-DIHURI, P.S.-
CHANDAUTI, DISTT.-GAYA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prithivi Raj Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2023 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in

connection with Chandauti P.S. Case No. 152 of 2023 dated

12.3.2023 registered for the offences punishable under sections

30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

As per the prosecution case, total 30 litres of Mahua

liquor was recovered from the bank of Jamune River.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that

the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing



incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Hence no case is made out. Local 'Chowkidar' disclosed the name of the petitioner on account of previous enmity. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as well as the nature of allegation, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



learned Court concerned, Gaya in connection with Chandauti
P.S. Case No. 152 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down
under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ajay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

