

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31050 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-568 Year-2022 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE  
District- Samastipur

=====

RAVI KUMAR Son of Chandeshwar Ray Resident of village - Bahadurpur,  
ward no. 29, P.S. - Samastipur Town, Distt. - Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Chandani Kumari Wife of Ravi Kumar, D/o Hare Ram Ray Resident of  
village - Dhaith Vishanpur, Aami, ward no. 07, P.S. - Khanpur, Distt. -  
Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                       |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.Raja Ram Mishra, Advocate          |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr.Mritunjay Kumar Nirala, APP        |
| For the complainant      | : | Mr. Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav, Advocate |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      07-07-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case  
registered under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and 4  
of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture  
upon the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the  
petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation  
of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The  
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to



petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.***

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the Complaint Case/F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Court below/concerned Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 568 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The petitioner is directed to co-operate during the trial. If the petitioner does not co-operate during the trial, the Court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement. In case, any such



application is made by either of the parties, the Court below shall refer the matter to the District Mediation Centre.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

A.K.V//Vikash-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

