

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.61741 of 2017

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-98 Year-2008 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

Narendra Jha, son of Late Ganesh Jha, resident of Village and P.O.- Sonapur,
P.S.- Katra, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Vigilance Investigation Beuro, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh-1
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Pranav Kumar
	Mr. Ramakant Sharma (L.O.,Inc.Vigilance)
	Mr. Anil Singh- Spl. P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 19-12-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
Special P. P. for the Vigilance and learned APP for the State.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that the present quashing application has been filed seeking
quashing of the order dated 16.05.2017, whereby charges
have been framed against the petitioner under Section 467
of the I.P.C. read with Section 34 I.P.C., Section 468 I.P.C.
read with Section 34 I.P.C., Section 409 I.P.C. read with
Section 34 I.P.C., Section 420 I.P.C. read with Section 34
I.P.C. and 120(B) of the I.P.C. and Sections 13(2) read with
13(1)d of the Prevention of Corruption Act.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several issues to contend that in the nature of allegation and the investigation which has been carried out by the Vigilance, prima facie, no offence is made out against the petitioner, as such prima facie, no material was available for framing the charge. The learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that charges have been framed in the Year 2017, but till date, not a single witness has appeared.

4. The learned Special P. P. for the Vigilance submits that non-bailable warrant of arrest has been issued against the witnesses for their appearance and the next date fixed is 16.01.2024.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, seeks permission to withdraw the present quashing application with liberty to raise all issues at the time of trial.

6. Permission is accorded.

7. Accordingly, the quashing application is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

8. The Court expects that the trial shall be concluded expeditiously and preferably within a period of



eighteen (18) months from the date of receipt/production of
a copy of this order.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

