

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14485 of 2015

=====

Shri Subash Sharma son of late Sidheswar Sinha resident Hajipur Niwas By
the Side of S K Mahilla College P.s Jehanabad town ,District Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar, through Principal Secretary, Education Department,
New Secretariat, Patna
2. Director , Primary Eduation New Secretariat Patna.
3. District Education officer, Jehanabad.
4. District Programme officerEstablishment Jehanabad.
5. Senior Deputy District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
6. Surendra Pathak Science Teacher Cum Officiating Head master, Upgraded
Middle School, Modanganj P.s
7. Head Master cum Drawing and Disbursing Officer, Govt. of Middle School,
Okari, Ps.Ghosi, district j
8. Smt. Madhuri kumar Head Mistress Cum Block Disbursement Officer
Government Middle School Modanganj P

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Subroteswar De, Adv. With Mr. Nazir Alam, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Sajial Salim Khan, SC-25 with Mr. Arif . D. Siddiqui, AC to SC-25

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 21-02-2023 1. The petitioner by way of this writ petition prays as
under:-

*“1. That the application is for the
issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus
directing the respondents Principal
Secretary/ Director Primary Education to
examine the Matriculation Certificate,
Matriculation Marksheet, Teacher’s
Training Certificate, I.Sc Certificate along*



with Marksheet and B.Sc(Math) Certificate with marksheet and enquire whether the respondent Surendra Pathak has got his appointment as well as promotion on the basis of forged/manufactured academic certificates in collusion and conspiracy with other respondents, and also for direction to recover the total public money received by the respondent Surendra Pathak towards his salary from September 1988 till date and accordingly punish the said respondents in accordance with law and dismiss him.”

2. This Court would not enter into a fishing enquiry to examine the documents of respondent no. 6 merely at the asking of the petitioner.

3. The writ petition is wholly frivolous and accordingly dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Pravinkumar/-

Item No. 49

U			
---	--	--	--

