

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11986 of 2015

-
-
1. Chandani Sinha and Anr D/o Ajay Kumar Singh
 2. Navin Kumar S/o Chandra Dev Prasad Singh Both Resident of Village-
Chaidha Banni, P.O.- Babu Bagicha, P.S.- Maheshkhunt, Dist.- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Director General of Police, State of Bihar,
Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Central Selection Board Constable Recruitment, Through its Chairman,
Sir Sai Tara Complex, I.A.S. Colony Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Patna.
4. Central Selection Board Constable Recruitment, Sri Sai Tara Complex,
I.A.S. Colony Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ram Sumiran Rai
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ashok Kumar Keshri
For the CSBC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey
		Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra
		Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following reliefs:-

“1(a). To quash the final result of Central selection Board (Constable Recruitment) (hereinafter referred as the selection Board) published in the daily newspaper on 23.06.2015 for which vacancies has been issued vide Advertisement No.01/2014 as the same is result of mass bungling and Malpractices by the successful candidates and Member/Chairman of the Selection Board.

(b). To hold proper enquiry / investigation from



any independent agency so that the mass bungling committed during the entire process of selection may be unfold and.

(c). Further, pending disposal of this application, stay the joining of successful candidates, whose roll numbers have been published in the impugned result and for any other relief(s) which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstance of the case.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel appearing for the Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment), Bihar, Patna, submits that as far as the petitioner no. 1 is concerned, there is category mismatch and as far as the petitioner no. 2 is concerned, he had engaged in impersonation, resulting in an FIR being lodged against him, hence, the present writ petition is devoid of any merit.

3. In view of the aforesaid, this Court finds that the present writ petition is bereft of any merit, hence, the same stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

--	--	--	--

