

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11006 of 2015

=====

Khushbu Kumari daughter of Anil Sharma Resident of Village - Rasalpur,
P.O. Uttarawan, Police Station - Alipur, District - Gaya Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Director General of Police, State of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Central Selection Board Constable Recruitment through its Chairman, Sri Sai Tara Complex, IAS Colony Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Patna.
4. The Chairman, Central Selection Board Constable Recruitment, Sri Sai Tara Complex, IAS Colony Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Satish Narayan Sinha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.A.Ujjwal
For the CSBC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, Advocate
		Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-08-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“a. To quash the final result of Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment) (hereinafter referred as the Selection Board) published in the daily news paper on 23.06.2015, for which vacancies had been issued vide Advertisement No.01/2014, as the same is result of mass bungling and malpractice by the successful candidates and Member/Chairman of the Selection Board.

b. To hold proper enquiry/ investigation from any independent agency so that the mass bungling



committed during the entire process of selection may be unfolded, and

c. Further, pending disposal of this application, stay the joining of successful candidates, whose roll numbers have been published in the impugned result.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel appearing for Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment), Bihar, Patna submits that though the minimum cut-off marks for selection was fixed as 120, however, the petitioner secured only 117 marks, hence she failed to qualify, thus, there is no merit in the present writ petition.

3. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the respondent-Board, this Court finds that the present writ petition is devoid of any merit, hence the same stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

