

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2053 of 2012

-
-
1. Shivanand Kumar And Ors Son of Brij Nandan Sharma Resident of Village Bharandua, Chenari, P.O. and P.S. Chenari, District Rohtas
 2. Jai Prakash Sharma Son of Yamuna Pd. Sharma Resident of Village Bharandua, Chenari, P.O. and P.S. Chenari, District Rohtas
 3. Om Prakash Sharma Son of Yamuna Pd. Sharma Resident of Village Bharandua, Chenari, P.O. and P.S. Chenari, District Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Human Resources Development Deptt., Government of Bihar, Pant
2. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Rohtas At Sasaram
3. The District Development Officer, Rohtas At Sasaram
4. The District Education Officer, Rohtas At Sasaram
5. District Superintendent Of Education, Rohtas At Sasaram
6. The Block Development Officer, Chenari, Police Station Chenari, District Rohtas
7. The Block Education Officer, Chenari, Police Station Chenari, District Rohtas
8. The Pramukh Block And Police-Station Chenari, Null District Rohtas
9. The Executive Officer Of The Panchayat Samiti Chenari Police Station Chenari, Distt. Rohtas
10. Sunil Kumar Gupta son of Manik Chand Gupta, R/o Dakshin Mohalla, P.O. and P.S. Chenari, Distt.- Rohtas
11. Upendra Kumar Gupta S/o Ganga Sah, R/o. Village+P.O.+P.S.- Chenari, Distt- Rohtas,

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Kumari Amrita GP-3
	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar, AC to GP-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

- 7 05-10-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the respondents.
2. The petitioners have filed this application for



directing the respondents to appoint them on the post of Primary (block) Teacher in the school situated at Block Chenari in the District of Rohtas. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that so far as petitioner no.2 is concerned, his application has already been dismissed as withdrawn by order dated 15.5.2012. As per instructions received the petitioner no.1 already having got appointment, his case also does not survive.

3. So far as petitioner no.3 is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that with the 2012 Rules having been framed by the State Government, it is mandatory for any candidate to have passed the Teacher Eligibility Test for appointment as a Primary (Block) Teacher but the petitioner no.3 has not cleared the same.

4. In view of the above facts he is not pressing this application and seeks permission to withdraw the same.

5. This application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

