

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30150 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2020 Thana- GANGABRIDGE District- Vaishali

=====

Ajit Kumar @ Ajit Kumar Rai S/O- Ravindra Ray Village- Diwantok, Ps-
Gangabridge, Dist- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mrityunjay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Pushpa Sinha.1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Ganga
Bridge P.S. Case No. 84 of 2020, registered for the offences
punishable under Section 414 of the IPC and Section 30(a)
of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

As per allegation, from a truck, total 3113.250
litres of Indian Made Foreign Liquor were recovered and two
accused persons were apprehended. The name of the
petitioner sprang up on the confession of apprehended co-
accused persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He also submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot and maliciously, on the basis of confessional statement of apprehended co-accused persons, the petitioner has been roped in the present case.

He further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 05.04.2023.

It has also been stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition that apart from the present case, the petitioner is also made accused in 5 other cases.

It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one.

However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner for bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this application is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in



the sum of ₹10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise Court No.1-cum-Additional District & Sessions Judge, Vaishali at Hajipur, in connection with Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 84 of 2020, on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner will make himself available for interrogation by a police officer/court as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner will undertake that investigation/trial will not get hampered on account of his absence or non-cooperation. He must be available to the police or the court whenever his presence is required.

(iii) The petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iv) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that



the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(v) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(vi) In case, the petitioner repeats offence of similar nature after enlargement on bail, his bail-bond will be cancelled by the court below.

Ld. counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects, if any, pointed out by the office within a period of one month and the Registry is directed to issue the certified copy of this order only after removal of office objections.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

Amrendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

