

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30127 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2022 Thana- CHARPOKHARI District- Bhojpur

MANOJ YADAV Son of Dineshwar Yadav @ Dineshwar Singh Resident of
Village - Koel, P.S.- Charpokhari, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhavesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 26-07-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302,
120(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has antecedent of three cases and the informant
alleges that in 2012, she married her two daughters with sons of
Krishna Yadav *namely* Rajesh and Jayram, further after
marriage Jayram along with his family members used to assault
her daughter Poonam, it is next alleged that on 13.04.2022, her
second daughter informed that Jayram along with his father and
brother have shot Punam dead, next alleges that *ex-mukhiya* and



his son (petitioner) are pressurising her not to disclose the correct fact about the occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR it would manifest that the allegation is against Jayram and his family members who are alleged to have committed the occurrence, as disclosed by the second daughter of the informant, and the petitioner has been falsely implicated alleging that he was pressurizing the informant along with his father not to disclose the correct status of the occurrence. It is further submitted that petitioner is not alleged to have committed the occurrence nor is alleged to have participated in the occurrence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Charpokhari P.S. Case No. 67 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

