

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7971 of 2014

=====

Soni Kumari W/O- Singheshwar Nath @ Dhananjay Mishra @ Singheshwar Prasad @ Sidheshwar Prasad, D/O- Late Narbad at present residing at C/O Arun Kumar Ojha, village- Murthan, P.O.- Parmanandpur, P.S.- Sonapur, District- Saran at Chhapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Bank Of India through its Chief Managing Director / Chairman, Union Bank of India, Union Bank Bhawan, 239, Bidhan Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai- 400021
2. Chief Managing Director/Chairman, Union Bank of India, Union Bank Bhawan, 239, Bidhan Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai- 400021
3. General Manager, Union Bank of India, A.P.J. Court 1st Floor 225A, Acharya J.C. Bose Road, Kolkata- 700020
4. Dy. General Manager, Union Bank of India, Nodel Regional Office, Nasheman Bhawan, Mazaharul Haque Path Fraser Road, Patna- 800001
5. The Chief Manager, Union Bank of India, Nodel Regional Office, Nasheman Bhawan 1st Floor, Mazaharul Haque Path Fraser Road Patna- 800001
6. Sri Singheshwar Nath @ Dhananjay Mishra @ Singheshwar Prasad @ Sidheshwar Nath S/O Sri Raghunath Mishra Resident of Village- Gogri Brahman Tola, P.S. Gogri, Distt.- Khagaria, at present working as class-IV employee Daftary, Union Bank of India, R.Block, Patna- 800001
7. Avinash Kumar S/O- Singheshwar Nath resident of village- Gogri Brahman Tola, P.S.- Gogri, Distt.- Khagaria, at present working as Class-IV employee Daftari, Union Bank of India, Exhibition Road Branch, Patna- 800001
8. Ashutosh Kumar S/O- Sri Raghunath Mishra resident of Vill.- Gogri Brahman Tola, P.S.- Gogri, Distt.- Khagaria, at present working as Class- IV employee Daftari, Union Bank of India, Exhibition Road, Patna- 800001

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kaushalesh Choudhary
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Nishi Nath Ojha

=====



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

6 22-03-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1. That, this writ application is being filed for issuance of an appropriate writ(s)/Rule(s)/Direction(s) in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents 1st set to initiate proper and suitable legal action against the respondent no. 6 for giving false information to the concerned authority regarding his marital status and for performing 2nd marriage with the petitioner on 19.05.2009 during existence of his first marriage which was performed in the year 1996 which is strictly prohibited as per C.C.A. rules, Banking Staff Regulation, Hindu Marriage Act 1955 and for initiation of proper action against the respondents No. 7 & 8 have supported the illegal and unlawful action of the respondent no. 6. And Further also for issuance of an appropriate direction to the respondents 1st set to pay half of the monthly salary of the Respondent no. 6, to the petitioner towards her maintenance as her life has been exploited by the respondent no. 6 and she has no any other source of income to maintain her livelihood.”

After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however,



seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other
alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law.
Liberty, so sought, is granted.

The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

