

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30077 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-213 Year-2022 Thana- BHABHU(KAIMUR) COMPLAIN C  
District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Chitranjan Kumar Son Of Lal Bahadur Singh Resident Of Village - Dihara,  
P.S.- Shivsagar, District - Rohtas At Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Jyoti Kumari Wife Of Chitranjan Kumar Daughter Of Dayashankar Singh,  
Present Resident Of Village - Ajgara, P.O.- Awraiya, P.S.- Kudra, District -  
Kaimur At Bhabhua.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                      |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mrs.Asha Kumari, APP                 |
| For O.P. No. 2           | : | Mr. Tribhuvan Narayan, Advocate      |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      24-06-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP  
for the State and learned counsel for O.P. No. 2.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case registered under Sections 341, 323, 313, 498A of the Indian Penal Code and  $\frac{3}{4}$  of Dowry Prohibition Act in which cognizance has been taken under Section 498A I.P.C. and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing torture and assault upon the victim due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that



the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to petty family dispute. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.***

On behalf of the State and O.P. No. 2, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the Complaint Case/F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Complaint Case No. 213 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The petitioner is directed to co-operate during the trial. If the petitioner does not co-operate during the trial, the Court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the



petitioner.

If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the Court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement. In case, any such application is made by either of the parties, the Court below shall refer the matter to the District Mediation Centre.

**(Sudhir Singh, J)**

Pankaj/Nitin

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

