

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.922 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-349 Year-2021 Thana- SAHARSA SADAR District- Saharsa

Lalo Devi son of Bhupendra Yadav Village- Sisai ward no-13, Ps- Saharsa
Dist- Saharsa

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat Bihar Patna
2. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director General of Police, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. The Deputy Inspector General, Koshi Division, Saharsa
5. The Superintendent of Police, Saharsa
6. The SHO, Saharsa Sadar Police Station, Saharsa
7. Manoj Yadav son of Niko Yadav Resident of Village- Sisai Ward No-13, PS- Saharsa Dist- Saharsa

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarnath Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. Iqbal Asif Niazi, AC to GP-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 31-10-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. Petitioner in the present case is the mother of
accused no.2, namely, Satish Yadav in Saharsa Sadar P.S. Case
No. 349 of 2021 registered for the offences alleged under
Sections 341, 323, 384, 354, 307, 324, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that her son has been
falsely implicated in the said case by respondent no.7.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after



investigation police has already submitted a final form saying that no sufficient material could be found against the co-accused Birbal Yadav and Rajbal Yadav. So far as the son of the present petitioner is concerned, the investigation has been kept pending against him.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that the investigation is taking place in a proper and fair manner. It is submitted that the investigation against the son of the petitioner is still pending.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the kind of reliefs prayed for on behalf of the petitioner, this Court directs the Superintendent of Police, Saharsa (Respondent No.5) to review the progress made in the present case and issue appropriate instruction to the Investigating Officer. All endeavours be made to conclude the investigation of the case after conducting proper and fair investigation, within a reasonable period.

7. This writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

