

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29867 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-232 Year-2022 Thana- JAYNAGAR District- Madhubani

1. SATTO YADAV @ SATYANARAYAN YADAV SON OF YOGENDRA YADAV R/O VILLAGE- KUADH, P.S.- JAYNAGAR, DISTRICT- MADHUBANI
2. PANDAV YADAV SON OF RAMASHISH YADAV R/O VILLAGE- LOKSHA, P.S.- MUFFASIL, MOTIHARI, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
3. SHANKAR KUMAR @ SHANKAR YADAV SON OF YOGENDRA YADAV R/O VILLAGE- LOKSHA, P.S.- MUFFASIL, MOTIHARI, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
4. MAHENDRA YADAV SON OF RAGHUNATH @ SURYANATH YADAV R/O VILLAGE- LOKSHA, P.S.- MUFFASIL, MOTIHARI, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
5. CHANDRADEO YADAV SON OF SURYANATH YADAV R/O VILLAGE- LOKSHA, P.S.- MUFFASIL, MOTIHARI, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
6. INDRADEO YADAV SON OF SURYANATH YADAV R/O VILLAGE- LOKSHA, P.S.- MUFFASIL, MOTIHARI, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
7. SATISH YADAV SON OF LATE JAGE YADAV @ NAGE YADAV R/O VILLAGE- LOKSHA, P.S.- MUFFASIL, MOTIHARI, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
8. DINESH YADAV SON OF NATHUNI YADAV R/O VILLAGE- LOKSHA, P.S.- MUFFASIL, MOTIHARI, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN
9. UMESH YADAV @ UMESH YADAV SON OF NATHUNI YADAV R/O VILLAGE- LOKSHA, P.S.- MUFFASIL, MOTIHARI, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-05-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.



The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 337, 338, 452, 353, 332, 333 and 224 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no. 2 has antecedent of five cases and rest of the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 1.8 liters of liquor from possession of six named apprehended accused.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from their conscious possession, it is next alleged that the petitioners along with other accused persons pelted stone at the police with a view to free the apprehended accused from the custody of the police. It is next submitted that the allegation of pelting stone is general and omnibus in nature.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court



within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Jaynagar P.S. Case No. 232 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

