

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30439 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-690 Year-2022 Thana- GHORASAHAN District- East
Champaran

-
1. Manisha Kumari D/O Sadhu Sah Resident Of Village - Jolgawa, P.S. - Jitna,
Distt. - East Champaran
 2. Parvati Devi Wife Of Sadhu Sah Resident Of Village - Jolgawa, P.S. - Jitna,
Distt. - East Champaran
 3. Sadhu Sah Son Of Late Ramlochan Sah Resident Of Village - Jolgawa, P.S. -
Jitna, Distt. - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dinesh Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a
case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304B,
201, 120B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Petitioners are said to have committed murder of
the daughter of the informant and thrown her dead body on
railway track.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in
this case. He submits that petitioner no. 1 is nanad, petitioner
no. 2 is mother-in-law and petitioner no. 3 is father-in-law of the



deceased. He submits that there is no specific overt act against the petitioners. He submits that there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against the petitioners. He submits that the deceased has herself committed suicide on railway track. He further submits that petitioner no. 3 has no criminal antecedent and petitioner nos. 1 and 2 have one criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts that the petitioner no. 1 is unmarried girl and petitioner no. 3 has no criminal antecedent, let the above named petitioner nos. 1 and 3 in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ghorasahan (Jitna) P.S. Case No. 690 of 2022, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. So far as petitioner no. 2 is concerned, she has one criminal antecedent, I am not inclined to enlarge the



petitioner no. 2 on bail. Accordingly, her prayer for anticipatory
bail is rejected in connection with the aforesaid case.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

