

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29073 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-942 Year-2018 Thana- AURANGABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Aurangabad

=====

YOGENDRA BHAGAT, SON OF SURESH BHAGAT, Resident of Village
Jamua, P.S. Mali, District- Aurangabad (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Punam Devi, Wife of Yogendra Bhagat, Resident of Village Jamua, P.S. Mali
District-Aurangabad (Bihar).

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Arun Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case for
the offence registered under Sections 323, 494 and 498(A) of
the I.P.C.

Allegation against the petitioner is of committing
torture upon the victim due to non-fulfilment of demand of
dowry.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the
present case due to petty family dispute. There is no allegation
of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner.



The petitioner is the husband of the victim. The case is triable by the Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of ***Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar, reported in 2006(3) PLJR 182.***

On behalf of the learned counsel for the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R/ complaint case.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of twelve weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below/concerned court, in connection with Aurangabad Complaint Case No. 942 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

The petitioner is directed to co-operate during the trial. If the petitioner does not co-operate during the trial, the court below will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.



If so advised, either of the parties will be at liberty to make an application before the court below for referring the matter to the District Mediation Centre for the purpose of reconciliation or one time settlement. In case, any such application is made by either of the parties, the court below shall refer the matter to the District Mediation Centre.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

