

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28429 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2019 Thana- MADHUBANI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Madhubani

=====

Anand Babu Son Of Ganga Ram Rout @ Ganga Ram Prasad R/O Village-
Rakhabari, Barai Tole, P.S.- Rudrapur, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Chitralekha @ Chitralekha Kumari @ Chitralekha Devi D/O Ram Kumar Prasad R/O Village- Rakhabari, Barai Tole, P.S.- Rudrapur, District- Madhubani

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sucheta Yadav, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 03-08-2023 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with C.R. Case No. 92 of 2019, corresponding to T.R. No. 464
of 2019 registered for the offences punishable under Section
498(A) of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3/4 of the
Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by
order dated 18.10.2022, notices were issued and in compliance
of the order dated 18.10.2022, the notices were filed in time for
being served upon the O.P. No.2. It is next submitted that the
notice was received by the father of O.P. No.2, for which a



jointness application has been filed stating therein that the O.P. No.2 is residing with her father.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the notice is treated to be validly served.

5. It is next submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner being husband has been falsely implicated in the present case, it is next submitted that petitioner is always willing to revive his conjugal relationship and to keep the complainant with honor and dignity but for reasons best known, the complainant is not willing to accompany him, it is next submitted that despite receiving the notice, the complainant chooses not to contest the case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the



case is pending/successor court in connection with C.R. Case No. 92 of 2019, corresponding to T.R. No. 464 of 2019 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

