

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28576 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2023 Thana- TANKUPPA District- Gaya

=====

RANJAN KUMAR @ RANJAN RAJ SON OF PREMAN YADAV  
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- BADIL BIGHA, PS- TANKUPPA, DISTT-  
GAYA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. SARITA DEVI @ SARITA KUMARI WIFE OF RANJAN KUMAR @  
RANJAY RAY RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- RANGANA, PS-  
WAZIRGANJ, DISTT- GAYA

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA  
ORAL ORDER**

2      24-05-2023                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since  
20.01.2023 in connection with Tankuppa P.S. Case No.11/2023,  
F.I.R. dated 19.01.2023, for the offences punishable under  
Sections 341, 323, 498(A), 504, 506, 34 of the IPC & Section 3/4  
of D.P. Act.

According to prosecution case, the petitioner, being  
the husband of the informant is alleged to have assaulted and  
tortured her due to non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the  
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been



implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has never demanded any dowry from the family members of the informant. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour and the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 20.01.2023.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the other hand has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Smt. Ankita Neha, J.M.1st Class, Gaya in connection with Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 11/2023, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the



witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

