

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1540 of 2019**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9546 of 2014**

=====

Md. Quamrul Hoda Son of Late Md. Waizul Haque Resident of Village-  
Nayagaon, P.S.-Shyampur Bhatahan, District-Sheohar. Presently residing at  
Azad Nagar, Ward No.-8, P.S. and District-Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri R.K.Mahajan, the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Gilwar Dayal Singh, the Director, Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Smt. Sangita Sinha, the RDDE Tribhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. Sri Raman Kumar, the District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari.
5. Sri Prabhat Kumar Pankaj, the District Education Officer, East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, AC to AAG-13

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      27-06-2023                      The petition was preferred for compliance of the order  
dated 18.08.2018 in CWJC No.9546 of 2014.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner although the  
State is present and has brought attention of this Court to the  
show-cause filed on behalf of the opposite party no.5, the  
District Education Officer, East Champaran, Motihari, by which  
it has been submitted that pursuant to the letter no.728 dated  
11.04.2023 passed by the opposite party no.5, the payment shall  
be made to the petitioner immediately.



It has been informed by learned State counsel that today, according to telephonic conversation with the office of opposite party no.5, pursuant to said order, the entire payment has been made. It is his categorical submission that the same can be recorded that the entire payment has been made.

Since the learned counsel for the petitioner is not present, the word of the State counsel Mr. Prabhat Ranjan Singh, AC to AAG-13 is recorded and in that backdrop, the contempt petition is disposed of.

It is made clear that the petition has been disposed of only on the categorical statement made by the learned State counsel that the entire payment has been made.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Prakash Narayan /-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

