

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6920 of 2023**

Ganesh Mahto Son of Jhadi Lal @ Jhadi Lal Mahto, Resident of Village- Saraiya, P.S.- Chautham, Dist.- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Khagaria.
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Khagaria.
4. The Circle Officer, Chautham, Khagaria.
5. Suba Lal Paswan Son of Late Anandi Paswan, Resident of Village- Saraiya, P.S.- Chautham, District- Khagaria.
6. Bechan Paswan Son of Late Bagho Paswan, Resident of Village- Saraiya, P.S.- Chautham, District- Khagaria.
7. Bahadur Paswan Son of Late Adhik Paswan, Resident of Village- Saraiya, P.S.- Chautham, District- Khagaria.
8. Bhanu Paswan Son of Dhaneshar Paswan, Resident of Village- Saraiya, P.S.- Chautham, District- Khagaria.
9. Rajendra Paswan Son of Dhaneshar Paswan, Resident of Village- Saraiya, P.S.- Chautham, District- Khagaria.
10. Garu Paswan Son of Dhanesar Paswan, Resident of Village- Saraiya, P.S.- Chautham, District- Khagaria.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhirendra Singh, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raj Kishore Roy (GP-18)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      26-07-2023      1.              The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1. (I) To issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ / writs, order / orders direction/directions  
(II)commanding the respondents for following:-*

*(i) To direct the respondents to remove the encroachment of the land of Maa Bhagawati Temple in Mauza Boren, Thana No.161,*



*Kheshra No. 1442, Khata No.347, Tauzi No. 540, total area 00-03-04-00 dhoor situated at village-Saraiya P.S.- Chautham, District-Khagaria.*

*(ii) To direct the respondents to remove the encroachment and clear the temple land according to the Khatian.*

*(iii) To direct the respondents to remove the private respondents no. 5 to 10 who encroached the temple land illegally.”*

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

**(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)**

S.Sb/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

