

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29269 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-384 Year-2022 Thana- JANDAHA District- Vaishali

=====

Ajay Mahto @ Murati Baba Son of Baleshwar Mahto Resident of Village -
Mahisaur, P.S. - Jandaha, Distt. - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sabal Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 24-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
27.12.2022, in connection with Jandaha P.S. Case No. 384 of
2022, F.I.R. dated 26.12.2022 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 25(1-B)a, 26/35 of the Arms Act.

The case relates to recovery of one country made
pistol and one live cartridge from the possession of the
petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has been falsely
implicated in the present case. He further submits that it
appears from the F.I.R. as well as seizure list that one country
made pistol and one live cartridge has been recovered from the



possession of the petitioner. He further submits that there is non compliance of Section 100 of the Cr. P.C. and the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 27.12.2022.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Jandaha P.S. Case No. 384 of 2022, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall



verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U			
---	--	--	--

