

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.28771 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-211 Year-2022 Thana- SAHARGHAT District- Madhubani

=====

SUMIT RANJAN @ SUMIT RAJAN SON OF SUNIL KUMAR RESIDENT
OF VILLAGE- BAINGRA PS- SAHARGHAT, DISTT- MADHUBANI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Gagan Deo Yadav, Adv. Mr.Ravi Prakash, Adv. Mr.Rajesh Kumar, Adv. Mr.Vinod Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Anish Chandra, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr.Mazharul Hassan, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 28-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel
for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable u/s 341, 323, 324, 379,
354(B), 504, 506, 34 of the IPC.

3. Allegedly, the petitioner being the brother-in-law
of the informant is alleged to have tore her clothes and took
some pictures in order to threaten her to drop a case filed by the
informant earlier. It is alleged that he tried to establish illicit
relationship with her and also tried to murder her in association
of other accused persons. It is lastly alleged that one car
bearing Reg. No.BR-07-AB2678 hit her.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The allegation leveled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. Mother of the petitioner has filed one criminal case against the informant. Petitioner has two criminal antecedent. It is submitted that in Saharghat P.S. Case No.63 of 2019, after investigation, police has filed the final form. It is further submitted that a Complaint petition was also filed in the Court below by the informant, bearing C.R. Case No.187 of 2019, which was dismissed.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that there is specific allegation against the petitioner.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court
in connection with Saharghat P.S. Case No.211 of 2022, subject
to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the
Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

