

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19519 of 2015

1. Ambika Singh
2. Vivekanand Singh Both sons of Late Sunder Singh resident of village - Bholsar, P.O. - Ekchari, P.S. - Rashalpur Kahalgaon, District - Bhagalpur Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Bhagalpur
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Bhagalpur.
3. The Block Development Officer, Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur.
4. The Circle Officer, Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur.
7. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur.
8. The S.H.O. Rashalpur Kahalgaon Police Station, Kahalgaon, Bhagalpur.
9. Guddu Singh
10. Ratan Kumar
11. Raj Kishore Singh
12. Manoj Singh Respondents no. 9 to 12, sons of Wakil Singh r/o village - Bholsar, P.O. - Ekchari, P.S. - Rashalpur Kahalgaon, District - Bhagalpur.
13. Amrit Singh
14. Binod Singh Respondents no. 13 & 14, sons of not known r/o village - Bholsar, P.O. - Ekchari, P.S. - Rashalpur Kahalgaon, District - Bhagalpur.
15. Shambhu Singh s/o - Mahabir Singh resident of village - Bholsar, P.S. - Rashalpur Kahalgaon, District - Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Diwakar Upadhyaya, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Sarnesh Kumar Singh, AAG-13
		Mrs. Sunita Kumari, AC to AAG-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the



following relief:-

“1. That this is an application for the issuance of appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, directions to the Respondents concerned and especially to the respondent nos. 2 to 8 to remove the encroachment made on the raiyati land of the petitioners (described in paragraph no. 3 of the writ application), which are in the shape of a concrete road and sewerage tank, made forcefully under different government scheme by the Respondents 1st set upon the part of land of the petitioners, for the benefit of respondents 2nd set, who are members of local “Panchayat Samiti, and are very influential persons and thereby the petitioners have been deprived of their property without any authority of law.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the Respondent-State has referred to the counter affidavit, filed in the present case, more particularly paragraph no. 13 thereof, wherein it has been stated that encroachment has already been removed from the private land of the petitioners and possession thereof has been handed over to them.

In view of the aforesaid, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at the moment, the petitioners are not having any subsisting grievances.



Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed
off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

