

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.29607 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2012 Thana- KOTWALI District- Patna

=====

Rana Raushan Pratap Singh Son Of Saryu Singh Resident Of Village- And
Post Kosut, Ps- Dhanarua, Distt- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajiv Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 21-07-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned

Additional Public Prosecutor (for brevity 'APP') for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection
with Kotwai P.S. Case No. 80/ 2012 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 420, 406 of the Indian Penal Code
(for brevity 'IPC').

3. The Public Relation Inspector of the General Post
Office (G.P.O.), lodged the First Information Report (for brevity
'F.I.R.'), as far back as on 10-02-2012. The allegation is
premature closure of monthly income scheme account of two
(2) account holders and misappropriating the amount of
deposits. The petitioner who was working as an agent had got
the accounts opened and the monthly income was being handed



over to the depositors. In August, 2022, all of a sudden, he has gone on the pretext of treatment of his wife. He, however, did not return after two (2) months, as he assured. The depositors, thereafter, came to learn that the amount has been withdrawn from their monthly income schemes. The petitioner has approached this Court eleven (11) years, after the F.I.R. has been lodged, for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the nature of allegations are such that it is evident that the petitioner was giving services to the depositors, till such time his wife fell ill. He denies and disputes the prosecution case altogether. Since, the depositors had given signed forms, the allegation that they have been duped is unsustainable.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Upon considering the rival submissions, nature of allegations, wherein depositors have been duped by the petitioner, and he has not submitted to the judicial process today, twelve (12) years after lodging of the F.I.R., this Court is not inclined to allow petitioner's prayer for grant of anticipatory bail.

7. Prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is



rejected.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Raj kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

