

1. Naheda Khatoon and Anr W/O Arshad Resident Of Village- Bijwar Balua Gostwara, Block Baisa, P.S- Angarh, District- Purnia
2. Sayeeda Khatoon W/O Quamrul Resident Of Village- Bijwar Pachhim Tola, Ward No. 13, Balua Gostrawa Panchayat, Block- Baisa, P.S- Angarh, District- Purnia.

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Department Of Social Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Purnia.
4. The District Magistrate, Purnia.
5. The D.D.C. Purnia.
6. The District Programme Officer, Purnia.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bayasi, Purnia.
8. The C.D.P.O, Baisa, Purnia.
9. The Secretary, Bihar State Madarsa Board, Patna,
10. Bibi Israt Jahan W/O Md. Sharif Purbi Tola Bijwar, P.S- Angarh, District-Purnia.

... .. Respondent/s

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shakil Ahmad Khan
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Shyam Kishor Sharma
For the State : Mr. Jitendra Kumar, AC to GA-10.

ORAL ORDER

2 25-01-2023 In this writ petition grievance raised is relating to
appointment of Anganwari Worker

In CWJC No. 21963 of 2014 decided on 12.12.2022 (Reena Kumari Vs. State of Bihar & Ors.,) this Court has held that the post of Anganwari Worker does not fall within the purview of State or Subordinate Services. The post of Anganwari Worker is under a scheme introduced by Govt. of India and respective State Govts. and regulated by guidelines which are non-statutory and therefore not enforceable in law.



The appointment is on honorarium basis and no statutory procedure has been laid down.

Of course, under the guidelines, grievance against appointment of Anganwari Worker can be raised before the Collector of concerned district with a revision to the Commissioner.

Even the orders passed by the Collector or the Commissioner would not be a subject matter of judicial review as the power being exercised by them relating to a dispute of Anganwari Worker is under guidelines and they do not act as a statutory authority while deciding such dispute.

Leaving it open to the respective writ petition to awake any of the aforesaid remedies, if they have not so availed, the writ petition is held to be not maintainable.

In view thereof, the writ petition would not be maintainable even against the orders of the Collector or the Commissioner.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

Brajesh Kumar/-
Item no.82

U			
---	--	--	--

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

