

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23009 of 2013

=====

Sushila Kumari Wife of Late Binod Kumar Resident of Village - Satmalpur,
Block and Anchal - Warisnagar, District - Samastipur Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Directorate of Integrated Child Development Scheme, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga, District - Darbhanga Bihar
5. The District Magistrate, Samastipur Bihar
6. The District Programme Officer, Integrated Child Development Scheme, Samastipur Bihar
7. The Child Development Project Officer, Block - Warisnagar, District - Samastipur Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sanjay Kr No.1, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 20-07-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1(i) For quashing the order dated
27.01.2011 passed by the District
Magistrate, Samastipur as
contained in Annexure-2, whereby
and whereunder the petitioner's
selection to the post of Anganwari
Sevika in Anganwari Centre No.148,
Satmalpur in Gram Panchayat*



Satmalpur under Waris Nagar Block in the District of Samastipur has been terminated.

(ii) For quashing the order dated 27.08.2013 passed in Case No.07 of 2011-12 as contained in Annexure-6 passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga, the Respondent No. 4 in Anganwari Appeal No.07 of 2011-12 preferred against the order dated 27.01.2011 (Annexure-2) by the petitioner whereby and whereunder the said Appeal i.e. Anganwari Appeal No.07 of 2011-12 has been dismissed.

(iii) In consequence of quashing of Orders as contained in Annexure-2 & 6 for direction upon the respondents to reinstate the petitioner on the post of Anganwari Centre No.148, Satmalpur in Gram Panchayat Satmalpur under Waris Nagar Block in the District of Samastipur."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the



petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

