

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6643 of 2023

Prabhunath Singh, Son of Late Mahendra Singh, Resident of Village and P.O.-
Kaituka Lachhi, P.S.- Amnour, Anchal- Maker, District- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of General Administration, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director of Secondary Education, Directorate of Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, Budh Marg, Patna.
4. The Collector-cum- District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
5. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Saran at Chapra.
6. The District Education Officer, Saran at Chapra.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Saran at Chapra.
8. The Block Education Officer, Maker, Saran at Chapra.
9. The School Managing Committee, through its Secretary-cum-Head Master, High School-cum-Inter College, Kaituka Lachhi, Maker, Saran,
10. The Headmaster, High School-cum-Inter College, Kaituka Lachhi, Maker, Saran.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Binita Singh, SC-28

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner in the present case is seeking a direction to the respondents to re-name the High School-cum-Inter College, Kaituka Lachhi, Maker in the District of Saran.

According to the petitioner, his ancestor Late Babu Bachchu Narayan Singh had gifted his landed property in favour of the State of Bihar in the year 1961 for establishing a school. The school was established, however, it did not contain the



name of the donor.

Admittedly, during his lifetime the ancestor of this petitioner Late Babu Bachchu Narayan Singh never raised any issue with the name of the school. The school was established in the year 1961 but at this stage by virtue of what is said to be a Cabinet decision of the State Government providing for pre-fixing the name of the donor of the school, the petitioner claims to have made a representation as contained in Annexure '11' to the writ application.

The petitioner has not brought on record the Cabinet decision, hence, this Court has no opportunity to go through the same.

In the opinion of this Court, this writ application is nothing but a misconceived kind of application by the petitioner. He is raising an issue which the donor of the land did not raise at any stage and he remained contented with the name of the school. Such issues cannot be allowed to be opened by filing a writ application.

This application is dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U			
---	--	--	--

