

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24654 of 2013

=====

Rashmi Singh W/o Dr. Amitabh R/O 414 F, East Boring Canal Road, P.S-
Budhha Colony, Patna- 800001, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Secretary, Department Of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Special Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
4. Joint Secretary, Department of Social Welfare- cum Inquiry Officer, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
5. Director, I.C.D.S., Social Welfare Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
6. District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur, Government Of Bihar
7. Rajesh Kumar, Director, Animal Husbandry, Government Of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, AC to GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-07-2023 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

“1. i. For issuance of order, direction or writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ for quashing the charge-sheet contained in letter no. 3867 dated 06.08.13 issued by Respondent No. 3 whereby and whereunder disciplinary proceeding has been initiated against the petitioner.

ii. For issuance of order, direction or



writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ for quashing the order contained in Memo No. 1121 dated 11.03.2013 issued under the signature of respondent No. 3 whereby and where under the petitioner, posted as Child Development Project Officer, Dumariya, Gaya has been suspended under Rule 9 (1) (a) of the Bihar Government Servant (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 2005.

iii. For issuance of order, direction or any appropriate writ for revoking the suspension of the writ petitioner with immediate effect.

iv. For issuance of order, direction or any appropriate writ for staying the operation of the order of suspension of the petitioner contained in Memo No. 1121 dated 11.03.2013 during the pendency of the instant writ application."

At the outset, the learned counsel for the parties have unanimously submitted that the petitioner has already stood exonerated in the



departmental proceeding initiated against him,
hence no *lis* survives for consideration.

Accordingly, the present writ petition
stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

