

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22662 of 2013

-
-
1. Rahul Kumar Son Of Sri Kapil Muni Singh Resident Of in The House Of Late C.B.Singh, B-Vyas Nagar, Sector-2, Post Office-Ashiana Nagar, Police Station-Shastiri Nagar, District-Patna, Pin-800025
 2. Sanjeev Kumar Son Of Lalan Singh Resident Of Village-Noni, Post Office-Noni, Police Station-Chandradeep, District-Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Staff Selection Commission through the Secretary.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State Selection Commission
3. The Chief Secretary, Government Of Bihar, Old Secretariat Building, Patna
4. The Principal Secretary, Administrative Reforms Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Anil Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Tripurari Nath Ambastha, AC to SC-26
For the BSSC	:	Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Adv.
		Ms. Sushmita Sharma, Adv.
		Mr. Abhishek Anand, Adv.
		Ms. Kanu Priya, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1. That this writ application is being filed for issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents State Selection Commission to recommend the names of petitioners for appointment and also issue appointment letters for the post of Secretariat Assistant or any suitable category for which the petitioners are found entitled to as per their performance in combined Graduate Legal



Examination, 2010 since the candidates obtained lesser marks than petitioners have been recommended for appointment and include in the list of allotment of Seat. And further for any other appropriate writ(s)/order(s)/direction(s) as your Lordships may deem fit and proper.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the Respondent-Bihar State Selection Commission has submitted that the selection process has already been concluded long back and the appointments have also been made in the year, 2013-14. It is also submitted that the petitioners had failed to qualify in the examination held in the year, 2011. Lastly, it is submitted that no candidate having lesser marks than the petitioners have been recommended for appointment.

In view of the aforesaid, nothing survives for consideration in the present writ petition, hence, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

