

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24051 of 2013

=====

Mahendra Paswan Son Of Late Fago Paswan Resident Of Village - Deshana,
P.S. Asthana, District - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Health Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. Joint Secretary, Health Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. Additional Secretary, Health Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
5. The State Drug Controller, Bihar, Patna
6. The Civil Surgeon - Cum - Chief Medical Officer, Biharsharif, Nalanda
7. Manoj Kumar Son Of Not Known To The Petitioner Presently Posted As
Licensing Officer, Nalanda At Biharsharif
8. The District Magistrate, Nalanda

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, AC to GP 24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-07-2023 The present petition has been filed seeking the
following relief :-

*“(i) For issuance of a writ in the nature of
certiorari to quash the resolution contained
in Memo No. 2169 dated 13.07.2013, issued
under the signature of Civil Surgeon Cum
Chief Medical Officer, Nalanda, whereby and
whereunder a departmental proceeding has
been said to be initiated against the
petitioner and petitioner has been further
directed to file his written show cause before
the conducting officer within 15 days, as also*



to quash office order contained in Memo No. 899 dated 19.06.2013, issued under the signature same very authority i.e Civil Surgeon Cum Chief Medical officer, Nalanda whereby and whereunder the petitioner has been said to be put under suspension with immediate effect for the alleged charges of misconduct and further for issuance of a consequential writ in nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to revoke the suspension of the petitioner with due date and to make all conséquential benefits, arising out of instant transaction and also to make payment of salary to the petitioner which is due with the respondents since June 2012 till date with payment interest as well as cost of litigation.”

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that probably with the efflux of time, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous, hence, the same be disposed off.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U			
---	--	--	--

