

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24630 of 2013

=====

Sangeeta Rai W/O Shailesh Kumar Rai Resident Of Village- Milki, P.S-
Bihpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principle Secretary, Social Welfare Dept. Govt Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principle Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Social Welfare Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Kosi Division, Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
5. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
6. The District Programme Officer, Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
7. The Child Development Project Officer, Bihpur, District- Bhagalpur.
8. The Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Bihpur East, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Mithilesh Kumar Upadhyay, AC to GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-07-2023 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief:-

*“1.That this writ application is being filed for
issuance of nature of certiorari for quashing the
order dated 12.09.13 passed by Commissioner,
Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur in Bhagalpur
Misc. Service Appeal (Anganbari) No. 28/13 by
which affirmed the order dt. 07.05.13 passed by
District Magistrate Bhagalpur in Bhagalpur Misc.
Service Appeal (Anganbari) No. 27/12-13,
whereby also affirmed the order dt. 05.06.12
passed by District Programme Officer, Bhagalpur*



by which cancelling the appointment of the petitioner for the post of the Anganbari Sevika, Anganbari Centre No. 126 of Bihpur Project and further quashing the order dt. 07.05.2013 passed by District Magistrate, Bhagalpur and further quashing the order dated 09.06.12 passed by District Programme Officer by which cancelled the appointment of the petitioner for the post of the Anganbari Sevika, in pursuance of the recommendation made by C.D.P.O., Bihpur for termination of the service without considering her show cause of the petitioner and allegation against petitioner is baseless and further give other legal consequential benefit attach to the post.”

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

The writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

