

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20799 of 2013

=====

Yogendra Prasad Thakur S/O Late Krishna Deo Thakur Resident Of Dairy,
Shiv Shankar Path, Mithanpura, P.O. Ramna, P.S. Mithanpura, District -
Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Director, Department of Agriculture,
Government of Bihar , Patna
2. The Director, Department Of Agriculture, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Joint Director, Department Of Agriculture, Muzaffarpur, Govt. Of
Bihar, Patna
4. The District Agriculture Officer, Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitender Kumar, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Kamlesh Kishore, AC to SC-12

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-01-2023

1. Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner by way of this writ petition has

prayed as under:-

“1. That the instant Writ
Application is being filed for the following
reliefs :-

(i) For issuance of appropriate writ/writs,
order/orders, direction / directions
commanding the respondents to pay arrears
of salary of petitioner from September 2011
to February 2012 with statutory interest and
consequential benefits, if any, in view of the
fact that the petitioner has served the
department as Financial Consultant under the
District Agriculture Officer, Muzaffarpur



(Respondent No. 4) and has been removed from the said post vide memo no.- 2729/Kri, Patna dated 28.06.2012 but till date the aforesaid arrears of his salary has not been paid inspite of several representations and reminders.

(ii) FOR any other relief/reliefs for which the Petitioner is entitled under law.”

3. Counter affidavit has been filed, placing on record that the amount for the said period has been released along with arrears from 2011 till 2012 of a sum of Rs. 1,13, 954/- (One lakh thirteen thousand nine hundred fifty four). Copy of the Cheque issue in favour of the petitioner has also been placed.

4. Keeping in view thereto, this Court finds that the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed. The writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Pravinkumar/-

Item No. 31

U			
---	--	--	--

