

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11552 of 2021

Sanjeet Paswan S/o Sri Lochan Paswan R/o Village- Raghunathpur. P.S.-
Warisnagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, The Department of Tele-Communication, Government of India, New Delhi.
2. The Managing Director, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Harischandra Mathur Lane, Janpath New Delhi.
3. The Chief General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Tele-Communication Division 3rd Floor Sanchar Parishar, Budh Marg, G.P.O. Golamber, Patna (Bihar).
4. The Dy General Manager, Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Tele-Communication Division 3rd Floor Sanchar Parishar, Budh Marg, G.P.O. Golamber, Patna (Bihar).
5. The Assistant Director General (STA), Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Bihar Tele-Communication Division 3rd Floor Sanchar Parishar, Budh Marg, G.P.O. Golamber, Patna (Bihar).
6. The District Tele-communication Manager, Samastipur, Bihar.
7. The S.D.O (Urban), Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Samastipur, Bihar.
8. The J.T.O. (Rural) Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, Samastipur, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Mrityunjay Kumar, Adv.
For the UOI	:	Mrs.Renuka Sharma, Adv.
		Mr.Sameer Sawarn, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Dr. K.N. Singh (Asg)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 03-11-2023 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1.i. For issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS or any other appropriate writ/ order/ direction to the respondents to consider the service of the petitioner on which the petitioner has been working since the month of August, 2005 to month



of March, 2020 and accordingly grant the consequential benefits to the petitioner.
ii. Further, for issuance of a writ in the nature of MANDAMUS or any other appropriate writ/ order/ direction to the respondents to allow the petitioner to work as contractual employee as he was working till the month of March, 2020 and also to make payment of 2005 as well as differences of wages between said period.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition.

3. Accordingly the present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

