

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10822 of 2021

=====

Rakesh Kumar, S/o Parmeshwar Paswan, Resident of Village-Parsauni, P.S. Dumra, Subdivision and District-Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Principal Secretary, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
5. District Education Officer, Sitamarhi.
6. District Programme Officer, Sitamarhi.
7. Pethaseen Padadhikari (Teachers Employment) District Apiliya Pradhikar, Sitamarhi.
8. Secretary, District Apiliya Pradhikar, Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Mrigendra Kumar, A.C. to G.P.-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 25-09-2023 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioner claims regularization. The petitioner has placed reliance on Annexure-4 series so as to press his relief for regularization. Annexure-4 series manifests that his services have been engaged intermittently for cleaning on a day-to-day basis.

3. The petitioner has not been able to establish any right for regularization based on Annexure-4 series either with



reference to any policy or with reference to continuity of service against any sanctioned post. It is also not established that the selection was made after observing any formality in compliance of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

4. The claim for regularization, in the above noted circumstances, is not made out from the averments made in the writ application.

5. The writ application is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Pankaj/Mayank

U			
---	--	--	--

